

Delta Plan Errata – November 2012

This errata is a list of changes from the September 5, 2012 “Proposed Final Draft Delta Plan” to the November 2012 “Final Draft Delta Plan”.

September 5 Redline Page/Line	November 2012 Page/Line	Change
Pages xiii to xxxii	Pages xiii to xxxi	Table of policies and recommendations updated to reflect changes in chapters of Delta Plan, as noted below.
Page xxvi, last bullet	Page xxv	WQ R8: Deleted duplicate language, “and determine control measures for implementation starting in 2020.”
Chapter 1		
N/A	Page 11	New Figure 1-1, The Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta and Suisun Marsh, added. All subsequent figures in Chapter 1 renumbered. In-text reference to new figure added on page 9, line 9.
Page 21	Page 23	Figure 1-3 revised and replaced. Renumbered as Figure 1-4.
Page 13, line 39	Page 15, line 26	Added underlined word: “run backward, and <u>some fish</u> , lacking...”
Chapter 2		
Page 39	Page 41	In Table 2-1, added “which, <u>among other activities</u> , pumps water through...” description of U.S. Bureau of Reclamation
Page 40, line 9	Page 42, line 9	Restored word “transparent”
Page 56, lines 29-39	N/A	Deleted lines 29-37 (lease agreements) and 38-39 (dredging) to be consistent with new law.
Chapter 3		
Page 73, line 10	Page 73, line 10	Inserted underlined word: “...one corner of the state <u>can</u> have ripple effects”
Page 73, line 19	Page 73, line 19	Changed “central importance” to “widespread importance” and “geographic situation” to “geographic location.”
Page 73, line 23	Page 73, line 23	Inserted underlined word: “...fear the impacts of <u>reduced</u> water supply reliability...”
Page 74, lines 12–15	Page 74, lines 8–10	Changed “Delta exports” to “patterns of Delta exports” and changed “support” to “be consistent with.” Deleted “and vulnerability to disruption by natural disasters that currently threatens reliability of water exports from the Delta”.
Page 81, lines 29-30	Page 80, line 37	Deleted “and also will increase risk from catastrophic levee failure and floods.”
Page 82, line 8	Page 81, line 14	Deleted “constitutional.”
Page 97, line 24	Page 93, lines 8–9	Restored “predictability of water exports” to original language of “water supply reliability.”
Page 105, line 12	Page 98, lines 27–29	Inserted the following text to acknowledge AB 685: In 2012, the California Legislature enacted AB 685, declaring established State policy that “every human being has the right to safe, clean, affordable, and accessible water adequate for human consumption, cooking and sanitary purposes” (Water Code section 106.3 (a)).
Page 110, line 15	Page 103, line 20	Changed “groundwater storage” to “stored groundwater”

September 5 Redline Page/Line	November 2012 Page/Line	Change
Page 121, line 27	Page 113, line 20	Corrected “3504” to “3405” in WR P2.
Chapter 4		
Page 150	Page 140	Figure 4-4: Changed figure labels from “...by Water Facilities” to “...by Dams and Diversions” and updated figure title.
Page 153, lines 2-3	Page 140, line 20, to page 141, line 8	Restored two missing paragraphs inadvertently deleted in September 5 draft.
Page 153, line 37	Page 142, line 30	Changed “significant” to “substantial.”
Page 160, line 7	Page 149, line 14	Deleted the words “CVIPA Restoration Plan.”
Page 164, line 30	Page 153, line 12	Restored the word “century” to sentence.
Page 170, line 12	Page 157, line 2	“Significant” inserted as first word of ER P3.
Page 175, line 38	Page 162, line 16	Deleted “an” in last sentence of ER R5.
Page 176, line 28	Page 163, line 7	In ER R8, changed “marking selective” to “mark selective”
Chapter 5		
N/A	Page 181	Figure 5-1, Delta Primary and Secondary Zones and Suisun Marsh, added. All other figures renumbered accordingly.
Page 196, lines 42–47, and page 199, lines 1–16	Page 183, lines 9–28	<p>Inserted text changes as follows:</p> <p>Among the Delta’s unincorporated communities, Bethel Island warrants a special note because of its flood risks, the development planned there, and its lack of public services. Its developed area occupies part of the 3,500-acre island, most of which is planned for rural agricultural or visitor-serving commercial uses. About 2,100 people reside on the island in about 1,300 residences concentrated on the island’s south central shoreline, four mobile home parks, or 13 commercial marinas. Approximately 15 miles of levees protect surround the island, which is below sea level, limiting the drainage of flood waters in the event of a levee breach. from flooding A single road, Bethel Island Road, links the island to the mainland at the city of Oakley, complicating emergency response or evacuation in the event of flooding. Although the entire island is included in the urban limit line that Contra County’s voters approved in 19902006, development on the island clusters around Delta Coves, a 495-unit water-oriented residential development that was permitted in 1973 but that still remains unfinished, in part because of the bankruptcy of its developer. The community also includes adjoining development, including mobile home parks and retail areas. Other development includes mobile home parks and retail areas. Rural uses include single-family homes along the island’s shoreline, marinas, resorts, a golf course, rural residential uses, and farmland. Contra Costa County’s General Plan seeks to preserve and enhance the rural quality of Bethel Island and still allow for planned residential and commercial growth related to water-oriented recreation. The general plan notes that development other than a single home on existing parcels must await resolution of several issues, including improvement of the community’s public services, levees, and emergency evacuation routes. As noted in Chapter 7, the island’s levees do not currently provide protection at the Hazard Mitigation Program (HMP) level, potentially limiting assistance from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) in the event of flooding. Due to its flood risks and its rural character, Bethel Island is not excluded from the Delta Plan</p>

September 5 Redline Page/Line	November 2012 Page/Line	Change
		<u>policy limiting new urban development. Restrictions on development on Bethel Island are consistent with the Contra Costa County General Plan.</u>
Page 197	Page 185	Figure 5-1 was revised and renumbered as Figure 5-2. Title changed “Urban and Legacy Communities of the Delta” to “Delta Communities”
Page 210, line 41 citation missing from references	Page 213, line 19	Added Deverel and Leighton citation to References section:
Page 212, line 9	Page 195, line 36, and page 213, line 17	Changed amount of CO2 emitted from 1.2 million tons to 4.4-5.3 million tons and added citation to text and references section: Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Conservancy. 2012. Strategic Plan. West Sacramento, CA.
Page 220, lines 35-42 through page 221, lines 1-16	Page 205, lines 2–22	<p>Revised wording of DP P1 as follows:</p> <p>DP P1 Locate New Development Wisely</p> <p>New urban development, including residential, commercial, and industrial uses, must be limited to the following areas (as shown in Figure 5-24 or Appendix K):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Areas that city or county general plans, as of the date of the Delta Plan's adoption, designate for development in cities or their spheres of influence 2. Areas within Contra Costa County's 2006 voter-approved urban limit line, except <u>no new urban development may occur on Bethel Island unless it is consistent with the Contra Costa County general plan effective as of the date of the Delta Plan's adoption;</u> 3. Areas within the Mountain House General Plan Community Boundary in San Joaquin County; or 4. The unincorporated Delta towns of Clarksburg, Courtland, Hood, Locke, Ryde, and Walnut Grove. <p><u>For purposes of Water Code section 85057.5 (a)(3), this policy covers proposed actions that involve new urban development, including residential, commercial, and industrial uses, that is not located within the areas described in the previous paragraph. In addition, this policy covers any such action on Bethel Island that is inconsistent with the Contra Costa County general plan effective as of the date of the Delta Plan's adoption. This policy</u> does not cover commercial recreational visitor-serving uses or facilities for processing of local crops or that provide essential services to local farms and are otherwise consistent with the Delta Plan. <u>In addition, this policy also covers any such action on Bethel Island, where development shall occur only if consistent with the County Costa County general plan in place as of the date of the Delta Plan's adoption.</u></p> <p>This policy is not intended in any way to alter the concurrent authority of the Delta Protection Commission to separately regulate development in the Delta's Primary Zone.</p>
Page 225, lines 16–17	Page 209, lines 25–26	In DP R19, moved “by 2017” to follow “Delta Plan.”
Chapter 6		
Page 249, line 30	Page 235, lines 12–15	<p>Inserted text to acknowledge AB 685:</p> <p>The California Legislature explicitly recognized these issues when, in 2012, it enacted AB 685, declaring the established State policy that "every human being has the right to safe, clean, affordable, and accessible water adequate for human consumption, cooking, and sanitary purposes" (Water Code section 106.3 (a)).</p>
Page 262, line 7	Page 247, lines 10–13	<p>Inserted text to acknowledge AB 685:</p> <p>There are also small and disadvantaged communities in areas served by water exported from the Delta that are disproportionately impacted by nitrate and other groundwater pollutants. Available options to correct unsafe drinking water conditions include shared services and facilities, consolidation...</p>

September 5 Redline Page/Line	November 2012 Page/Line	Change
Page 262, line 12	Page 247, lines 16–19	Inserted text to acknowledge AB 685: Consideration must also be given to the new State policy that "every human being has the right to safe, clean, affordable, and accessible water adequate for human consumption, cooking, and sanitary purposes" (Water Code section 106.3 (a)).
Chapter 7		
Page 284	Page 269	Figure 7-2: Replaced levee map; updated title to Levees in the Delta.
Page 294, lines 27–40	Page 278, lines 40–45, and page 281, lines 1–9	Inserted text changes as follows: As noted in Chapter 5, the legacy community of Bethel Island is exposed warrants <u>a special note because of its to unique</u> flood hazards. About 2,100 people reside on the island in about 1,300 residences concentrated on the south central shoreline and four mobile home parks. The island, which is below sea level, is protected surrounded from flooding by 44.9 <u>approximately 15</u> miles of levees, <u>limiting the drainage of flood waters in the event of a levee breach</u> . Because approximately .95 mile of these levees are below the HMP standard, the island is exposed to high flood risks and is ineligible for FEMA assistance in the event of a flood. A single road, Bethel Island Road, links the island to the mainland at the city of Oakley, complicating emergency response or evacuation in the event of flooding. Because developments on Bethel Island are proposed to be served by the Bethel Island Municipal Improvement District or other adjacent public services, the entire island is within the urban limit line adopted by Contra Costa voters in 1999 <u>2006</u> . The high flood risks on the island and the restricted evacuation opportunities, however, indicate the island has greater hazards to lives and property than the Delta's other urban or urbanizing areas <u>designated for development</u> . For this reason, it is not excluded from the Delta Plan policy prohibiting new subdivisions unless adequate flood protection is provided. This is consistent with provisions of the Contra Costa County General Plan, which limit residential development on the island to a single home per parcel <u>requires that development other than a single home on existing parcels to await resolution of several issues, including improvement of the community's public services, levees, and emergency evacuation routes.</u>
Page 295	Page 279	Figure 7-5 revised and replaced.
Glossary		
Page 332	Page 318	Inserted underlined word: "commercial <u>recreational</u> visitor-serving uses".
Appendices		
Appendix C, Page C-1	Page C-1, line 23	In WR P1, inserted "water suppliers that have undertaken" after "number of"
Appendix C, Page C-4	Page C-4, lines 19–20	In ER P2: Restore Habitats at Appropriate Elevations, deleted reference to Appendix D
Appendix C, Page C-12	Page C-12, line 4	In FR R1, changed "do or may achieve" to "contribute to"
Appendix D, Pages D-1 to D-2	Appendix D, Pages D-1 to D-3	Updated to include the full list of statutory exemptions.
Appendix K, Pages K-1– K-10	Pages K-1–K-14	Maps revised and added. Added list of references.
Appendix P, Page P-3, line 10	Page P-3, line 10	Deleted duplicate "that"

